



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/653,381	09/01/2000	Sadik Bayrakeri	DIVA/265	7076
56015 7	7590 03/13/2006		EXAMINER	
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/ SEDNA PATENT SERVICES, LLC			MANNING, JOHN	
	BURY AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 100 SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			2614 DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

` .			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/653,381	BAYRAKERI ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John Manning	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires months from the mailing of the period for reply expires months. 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	-	e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	•	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	icated alaims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jecteu ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: _____.

JOHN MILLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendments do not merely cancel claims, adopt examiner suggestions, removes issues for appeal, or in some other way requires only a cursory review by the examiner.